

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DO	CKET NO.
08/64	0,738 05	/06/96 HYON	S 96	0381

33M1/1229

ARMSTRONG WESTERMAN HATTORI MCLELAND AND NAUGHTON 1725 K STREET NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON DC 20006

EXAMINER					
NGUYEN, T					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
330)8				

DATE MAILED:

12/29/97

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents





Applicant(s)

Hyon et al.

Advisory Action

08/640,738 Examiner

Group Art Unit Tram Nguyen

3308



				•					
ТН	e peri	OD F	OR RESPONSE:	(check only a) or	r b)]				
	a) 🗌	expi	res mo	nths from the mailin	ng date of the final re	jection.			
	b) 🔀	is lat						is Advisory Action, which hs from the date of the fin	
	date or determ	n whic ining t	h the response, the the period of extens	petition, and the fedion and the correspondent	e have been filed is t	he date of the respondence fee. Any extension	oonse and also to on fee pursuant	and the appropriate fee he date for the purposes o to 37 CFR 1.17 will be love.	The f
	Appell period	lant's for r	Brief is due two esponse set forth	months from the above, whichev	e date of the Notice ver is later). See 3	e of Appeal filed 37 CFR 1.191(d)	d on and 37 CFR	(or within a 1.192(a).	any
					on <u>Dec 5, 19</u> ondition for allowa		considered wi	th the following effect	,
X	The p	ropos	ed amendment(s):					
	□ w	ill be	entered upon filir	ng of a Notice of	Appeal and an Ap	peal Brief.			
	X w	ill not	be entered beca	iuse:		•			
	X	they	raise new issue:	s that would requ	ire further conside	eration and/or se	earch. (See n	ote below).	
	X	they	raise the issue o	of new matter. (S	See note below).				
			are not deemed es for appeal.	to place the appl	lication in better f	orm for appeal b	y materially r	educing or simplifying	the
		they	present addition	al claims without	cancelling a corre	esponding numb	er of finally re	ejected claims.	
	NO	TE:	The limitation th	at the crystal pla	nes are parallel in	direction to a co	ompression pl	ane would require furt	her
								ation and therefore the	
			<u>limitation might</u>	<u>be considered ne</u>	w matter.			•	
	□ A;	oplica	nt's response ha	s overcome the f	ollowing rejection	(s):			
									
	Newl ^s separ	y propate, t	oosed or amende imely filed amen	d claims dment cancelling	the non-allowable	claims.	_ would be all	owable if submitted in	а
			vit, exhibit or req	uest for reconside	eration has been o	considered but d	oes NOT plac	e the application in co	ndition
			vit or exhibit will ner in the final rej		ed because it is n	ot directed SOLI	ELY to issues	which were newly rais	sed by
X	For p	or purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):							
	Claim	s allo	wed:						
	Claim	s reje	ected: 1-11						
	The p	ropos	sed drawing corre	ection filed on		_	as not been a	pproved by the Examir	ner.
	Note	the a	ttached Informat	ion Disclosure Sta	atement(s), PTO-1	449, Paper No(s)		1
	Other							MICHAEL J. MILANO PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3300	lono